



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/396,429	0/396,429 09/15/1999		JOHN S. HENDRICKS	5815	7434
38598	7590	10/15/2004		EXAMINER	
ANDREWS	KURTI	H L.L.P.	KOENIG, ANDREW Y		
1701 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20006				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2611	

DATE MAILED: 10/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/396,429	HENDRICKS ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andrew Y Koenig	2611				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 04 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ntion. A proper reply to a				
	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	f extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>04 August 2004</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or simplifying the				
<ul><li>(d)  they present additional claims without canceling</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>	ng a corresponding number of fil	nally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		parate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment( explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	(s) a) will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided below	⊠ will be entered and an w or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-16,18-32,34-43 and 45-59</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approximately approximatel	oved or b) disapproved by th	e Examiner.				
9. $\square$ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	—·				
10. Other:		CHRIS GRANT				
		PRIMARY EXAMINER				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's arguments are not persuasive, the applicant argues that the instant case is a division of 07/991,074 and is therefore the claims have benefit of the earlier filing date of the parent (09 December 1992), thereby rendering Granger of record as not being prior art in that Granger is filed after the effective filing date of the instant application.

The examiner has reviewed the cited portions of the parent application (07/991,074) and has found insufficient support for the independent claims for the hardware upgrade comprising a modem. With respect to the support for the independent claims, the applicant has merely alleged that the independent claims are fully disclosed in the parent application. However, the applicant has not provided any evidence in the parent application (07/991,074) to support this position.

Further, the examiner notes that in reviewing the parent application, the hardware upgrade (700) is connected to the set top terminal (720), such as shown in figure 7b, wherein the modem (627) is located in the set top terminal, not in the hardware upgrade as claimed.

Accordingly, the benefit of priority fo the parent application (07/991,074) of 09 December 1992 will not apply to the claims of the instant application.

The applicant argues that the parent application (07/991,074) is sufficient in satisfying the written description requirement for claims, 10-13, 15, 20-22, 51-54, and 56. As discussed with respect to the support of the independent claims, the parent application does not support the same type of hardware upgrade as claimed in the instant application, therefore the support provided is not persuasive that the applicant had the invention at the time of 09 December 1992.